



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/614,770	0	7/08/2003	Dave Ng	400300	7999
27717	7590	06/09/2004		EXAMINER	
SEYFARTI	H SHAW		MAI, HUY KIM		
55 EAST MO	ONROE S'	TREET			
SUITE 4200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803				2873	
				DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A CA					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/614,770	NG, DAVE						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Huy K. Mai	2873						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.					
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2003</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 9-27 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-8 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Property of the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	· ,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)							
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/3/03.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The Information Disclosure Statement filed on Sept. 3, 2003 is acknowledged.

Oath/Declaration

2. The declaration filed on July 8, 2003 is acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Lavie (6,705,723).

The limitations in claims 2-3 are shown in Lavie's Figs. 1,4. Lavie discloses an apparatus for holding a spectacle lens, comprising: an elongate bridge 18 having a first and second end, and defining a bridge-side hole 26, 32 in each of the first and second ends; a first fixing member 36

Application/Control Number: 10/614,770 Page 3

Art Unit: 2873

attached to said elongate bridge 18, and a support line 14 inserted in a groove formed in the edge surface of said spectacle lens and received in at least one said bridge-side hole.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon the above objected claims.
- 7. Claims 1,9-27 allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the limitations as claimed in the independent claim 1, wherein the claimed invention comprising said elongate bridge having a first projection at each end, each said first projection being adapted for insertion in a blind hole in the edge surface of said spectacle lens; and a support line inserted in a groove formed in the edge surface of said spectacle lens and received in at least one said bridge-side hole, as claimed. The prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest, by themselves or in combining with any other reference, to meet a combination of all the limitations as claimed in claims 1,9,22. Therefore claims 1,9-27 could be allowable over the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-2334. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

Huy Mai

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2873

HKM/

June 2, 2004